BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF RICHARD A. SMITH, d.b.a. HARBOR HEIGHTS APARTMENTS, PCHB No. 299 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, ĸ vs. CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$25.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer) at a formal hearing held in the conference room of respondent's Seattle headquarters at 3:30 p.m., April 27, 1973.

Appellant appeared pro se; respondent through its counsel, Keith D. McGoffin. The proceeding was recorded by Leslee J. Livers, 16 17 Seattle court reporter.

Witnesses were sworn and testified.

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

Exhibits were admitted.

<u>...</u>‡

 27°

On the basis of testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board prepared Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order which were submitted to the appellant and respondent on June 9, 1973. Exceptions having been considered, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes and enters—the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

In the morning of January 19, 1973, from the Harbor Heights Apartments, 86 Virginia Street, Seattle, King County, there was emitted for at least six minutes black smoke equal to No. 3 on the Ringelmann Scale.

II.

Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant darker in shade than No. 2 on the Ringelmann Scale.

III.

As a result of the observed emission on January 19, 1973, respondent served on appellant Notice of Violation No. 7222 and, subsequently, Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00. That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

IV.

The boiler of the Harbor Heights Apartments had been given three service calls in December, 1972, and on January 8, 1973, the boiler and chimney had been cleaned. It is possible that this cleaning upset the balance of firing in the boiler, causing it to malfunction.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1	From these facts, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to
2	these
3	CONCLUSIONS
4	ı.
5	Appellant was in violation of Section 9.03 of respondent's
6	Regulation I on January 19, 1973, as outlined in Notice of Violation
7	No. 7222.
8	II.
9	Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666, being one-fifth of the maximum
10	allowable amount which respondent may invoke for any one violation of
11	its Regulation I, is reasonable.
2	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this
13	ORDER
13 14	ORDER The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the
	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained.
14	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the
14 15	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained.
14 15 16	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 7th day of agast, 1973.
14 15 16 17	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 7th day of agast, 1973.
14 15 16 17 18	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 7th day of August, 1973. POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD Well Mordword
14 15 16 17 18 19	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 7th day of August, 1973. POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD Well Mordword
14 15 16 17 18 19	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington this the day of the d
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington this the day of the d

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

Witnesses were sworn and testified.

Exhibits were admitted.

From testimony heard, exhibits examined and transcript read, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

In the morning of January 19, 1973, from the Harbor Heights Apartments, 86 Virginia Street, Seattle, King County, there was emitted for at least six minutes black smoke equal to No. 3 on the Ringelmann Scale.

II.

Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant darker in shade than No. 2 on the Ringelmann Scale.

III.

As a result of the observed emission on January 19, 1973, respondent served on appellant Notice of Violation No. 7222 and, subsequently, Notice of Civil Penalty No. 666 in the amount of \$25.00. That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

IV.

The boiler of the Harbor Heights Apartments had been given three service calls in December, 1972, and on January 8, 1973, the boiler and chimney had been cleaned. It is possible that this cleaning upset the balance of firing in the boiler, causing it to malfunction.

From these facts, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to

26 these

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF RICHARD A. SMITH, d.b.a. HARBOR HEIGHTS APARTMENTS, 4 PCHB No. 299 5 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, VS. CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

This matter, the appeal of a \$25.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer) at a formal hearing held in the conference room of respondent's Seattle headquarters at 3:30 p.m., April 27, 1973.

Appeallant appeared pro se; respondent through its counsel,
Keith D. McGoffin. The proceeding was recorded by Leslee J. Livers,
Seattle court reporter.